

Question 90 Completes the listing of questions received. The cut off for Question submissions was 10 days prior to proposal due date. No further questions will be answered. Please contact the PCO if you believe your question was overlooked.

	ACCENT Questions Submitted	USG Answers
80	The Government's answer to Question #32 states "Including the file separately will limit technical difficulties. Embedding files will not save page counts." Does this mean that the offeror can submit the completed RFP (sections A-M) as a separate file to the proposal response?	The entire proposal is required electronically. It doesn't matter if one file is submitted with all content included or multiple files are submitted. The RFP is considered one required submission and should not be broke up into sections. ALL RFP pages should be submitted together within Section I of the proposal. Ensure that the RFP and all Amendments are signed on the cover page if combined or embedded.
81	The Government stated in the September 14 Q&A responses that "Price/Cost will not be evaluated for the BOA evaluation," but Section L-17 refers to labor categories and rates. Understanding it is not being evaluated, is it the Government's intent for the bidders to provide labor categories and rates when responding to task orders?	For this evaluation, no contracts will be awarded. We are establishing a "Basic Ordering Agreement". As such, an Agreement only lays out some terms and conditions as a starting place to have a head start on the actual contract awards. We are not establishing any type of pricing, labor category, or guaranteeing any awards under the BOA. The intent is to establish those that have a BOA can meet minimum requirements, have some processes agreed to and agree to some fundamental terms and conditions. The specific clause indicated is provided as a clause that may be used under the TOR awards.
82	Understanding that the bidder is not required to have a formal teaming agreement with the holder of the DoD PA, was it the intent of the Government to indicate that approval from the holder of the PA to include the PA as part of the response is no longer required?	A formal agreement is not required, however some type of working arrangement is assumed even if not formal. (if completely self performed, see Q&A #15) Formal approval from the PA holder was never requested, however some discussion or documentation explaining the relationship is required.
83	Are offerors allowed to add other items in the headers and footers such as the solicitation name and disclaimers?	The header information will be evaluated for compliance. If it is included, it will not affect compliance if additional information is also included.

Question 90 Completes the listing of questions received. The cut off for Question submissions was 10 days prior to proposal due date. No further questions will be answered. Please contact the PCO if you believe your question was overlooked.

	ACCENT Questions Submitted	USG Answers
84	Q&A #4 released on 2016SEP14, says that the entire RFP (i.e. all 62 pages) needs to be submitted. In order to be compliant, will the Government clarify where sections A-J and L-M should be included? For example, at this time, proof of SAM registration is required after section K, so would L-M go after that?	<p>See answer to Question # 80.</p> <p>Proof of SAM registration must be included electronically. The RFP must be included electronically.. There is not a requirement for the SAM submission to break up the RFP file.</p> <p>It appears that Attachment 0001, Section L, line 8 may be causing confusion since it lists section K and SAM together. This was due to the recognition of the overlap of clauses that may be included in both section K of the RFP and SAM and not intended to insert SAM into the RFP.</p> <p>Section K is part of the RFP file submission, SAM should be it's own submission. Please do not mix these pages. A revised Attachment 0001 will be provided to eliminate section K and SAM listing appearing together under line 8.</p>
85	Will the Government provide an updated Attachment_0001_Section_L_and_M_Charts detailing the administrative documents outline to include all sections of the RFP? This will allow offerors to ensure complete compliance.	<p>See answer to Question 84.</p> <p>The intent of Attachment 0001 Section L was to list each submission necessary. The administrative section may be one submission (only 2 pages) that includes all of the information indicated for the administrative section.</p>
86	Will our proposal response be incorporated in the contract award? Will answers/responses to questions be incorporated in the contract award?	There will not be a contract award as a result of this solicitation. A Basic Ordering agreement (BOA) will be executed. Proposals may be incorporated in resulting TOR contracts on a case by case basis.
87	Can the offer propose a CSO with DoD Advanced Conditional Provisional Authorization (DoD ACPA) instead of DoD Provisional Authorization?	No
88	How would the Government handle expiration of DoD PA prior to contract award?	If a valid DoD PA is not presented, offeror is not eligible to receive a BOA. Once re-established, the on boarding process can be utilized.

Question 90 Completes the listing of questions received. The cut off for Question submissions was 10 days prior to proposal due date. No further questions will be answered. Please contact the PCO if you believe your question was overlooked.

	ACCENT Questions Submitted	USG Answers
89	If the DoD PA is currently active, but expiring prior to scheduled contract award, should the offeror resubmit the DoD PA certification once renewed or will the verification be handled at TO level?	If the PA is currently active, offeror is eligible to receive a BOA. It must also be active at time of BOA execution. Offeror should submit revised certification once renewed in order to maintain eligibility during the evaluation process.
90	Attachment 1 #10 (Teaming Agreements/Organizational Structures) CSPs have partner program's which require a level of commitment for both parties to include certifications. Several Prime Contractors (Including System Integrators) have fully-executed these legal agreements. Will submitting "copies" of CSP/System Integrator partner agreement(s) meet this requirement?	Formal teaming agreements between the Prime and any team members executing the minimum requirements meet the requirement